	Case 08-10753-CL7 Filed 01/25/10	Entered 01/26/10 14:25:49 Doc 119 Pg. 1 of 5
	THOMAS C. NELSON (Cal. Bar No.82	2506) FILED #
1	550 West C Street, Ste. 1850	2010 JAN 25 PM 1: 37
2	San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 236-1245 phone	OLERK U.S. DANKRUPTOV OT
3	(619) 236-0230 fax tom@tcnlaw.com	U.S. BANKRUPTCY CT. SO DIST. OF CALIF.
5	toma temaw.com	
6	Attorney for Debtor	
7,		
8	UNITED STATE	S BANKRUPTCY COURT
9	SOUTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	I. D	O N 00 10752 I A11
11	In Re:) Case No. 08-10753-LA11) RS No. PD-3
12	TESLA GRAY,) Chapter 11
13	TESLA GRAT,) Chapter 11
14	Debtor) DECLARATION OF RAY GRAY) IN OPPOSITION TO
15) MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY
16) BY US BANK, N.A. AS TRUSTEE) FOR CCB LIBOR SERIES 2004-B
17) TRUST
18		
19	US BANK , N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR CCB LIBOR SERIES 2004-B TRUST,) Date: February 3, 2010) Time: 10:30 a.m.
21) Dept: Two (Room 118)
22	Movant,	<i>)</i>
23	VS.	
24	TESLA GRAY,)
25	Respondent	j
26		
27	<i> </i>	
28		

Ray Gray declares:

- 1. I am over the age of 18. I am the father of Tesla Gray, the Debtor in this proceeding. I also manage the Debtor's real estate investments, including the property located at 36211 Pala Del Norte, Fallbrook, CA 92003 (the "Property"). I am personally acquainted with the Property, having negotiated the Debtor's purchase of it and having managed the Property on the Debtor's behalf since she acquired it.
- 2. I have been in the real estate business as my primary occupation for more than 35 years. During that time, I have bought and sold both undeveloped and developed residential and commercial real properties; acquired undeveloped land, obtained development entitlements and then sold to builders; acquired existing properties, including single-family residences such as the Property, remodeled and sold them, among other aspects of the real estate business. I am very conversant with evaluating the market value of real estate, such as the Property, using comparable sales and listings since I have been doing that for over 35 years, to make investment decisions on my own behalf and for others, including the Debtor.
- 3. The Property that is the subject of this motion consists of 109 acres improved with a 3 bedroom, 2 bath, 2650 square foot single family residence. Based on my 35 years of experience in real estate development and investment, in my opinion the value of the Property is, at minimum, \$4,150,000. My opinion is based upon the following factors.
- a. There are major development projects underway on contiguous properties. To the south, San Diego Gas and Electric is constructing a large Energy Plant. The contiguous property east of the subject Property is in the planning stages for a residential development of approximately 700 units. The Pala Casino and Resort is located just one-half mile east of the Property and can be seen from the Property. There are four other casinos further to the east. Traffic has increased tremendously and Highway 76 has been

widened from Highway 15 for several miles with additional widening under construction, past the subject property.

- b. The Property is located on Highway 76, which is a very high traffic area. CalTrans is widening the section of Highway 76 on which the Property fronts. SDG&E is bringing a gas line up Highway 76. The adjacent Warner subdivision project is bringing a sewer line up Highway 76 past the subject Property which can be accessed for development of the Property. All of these ongoing improvements significantly add to the value of the Property for future industrial, commercial or residential development.
- c. The Property also is valuable as part of the San Diego Association of Government's (SANDAG) Environmental Mitigation Program. This \$800 Million program is dedicated to acquiring land to be preserved in its natural state as environmental mitigation for other development projects. Since 2008, it has spent or coordinated the investment of \$31.5 Million to acquire approximately 824 acres of land, which equates to \$38,228 per acre.
- 4. The Competitive Market Analysis ("CMA") attached to Movant's motion uses property that is not at all comparable to the subject Property. In all instances, the properties that the CMA uses are very rural, do not have immediate highway access, are not suitable for industrial, commercial or residential development, will not for many years to come have sewer or natural gas available for development. Additionally, all of the so-called comparables are east and north of the subject property and are more associated with Riverside County than San Diego County (even though they are technically in San Diego County).
- 5. My opinion of value is not based on industrial or commercial development, which the Property is indeed suitable for, but instead on the value of the Property for environmental mitigation/conservancy purposes. I have used that criteria as the basis for my opinion because it does not require consideration of any future impacts or assumptions. It can be measured as the present "as-is" value of the Property for that

purpose based on actual sales which have recently occurred in the vicinity of the Property. At the average price of \$38,228 an acre paid or offered recently for similar properties, the value of subject Property is \$4,150,000 in its present condition. For instance, an entity I own has an offer pending for its property in the same area at \$49,000 an acre.

6. The Debtor's Schedule D lists a Deed of Trust on the subject Property in favor of Desert Funding, Inc., in the amount of \$6,500,000.00. That deed of trust was given as part of a settlement agreement the Debtor, among others, entered into after the Involuntary Petition had been filed in this matter. As such, the Debtor believes the settlement agreement, and the resulting trust deed, are invalid since they were entered into without Court permission or approval. Desert Funding, Inc. has informally acknowledged that the settlement agreement and deed of trust are not valid as to the Debtor for that reason.

The foregoing is my personal knowledge. I could and would so testify if called as a witness.

Signed on January 25, 2010, at San Diego, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Ray Gray

In Re: TESLA GRAY debtor.

United States Bankruptcy Court Southern Dist. California No.: 08-10753-LA11

RS No. PD-3

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action or proceeding. My business address is 550 West C Street, Suite 1850, San Diego, CA 92101.

On January 25, 2010, I served the following documents:

DECLARATION OF RAY GRAY IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY BY US BANK, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR CCB LIBOR SERIES 2004-B TRUST

on the following interested parties in this action:

on the following interested particerin L Laney, Esq.
Pite Duncan, LLP
4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92117-0933
Gary B, Rudolph, Esq.
Rudolph Law Firm
864 Grand Avenue – Box 433
San Diego, CA 92109
United States Trustee
Department Of Justice
402 West Broadway Suite 600
San Diego, CA 92101

- X (By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon, fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail.
 - (By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee.
 - (By E-mail) I sent said document by e-mail to:
 - (By Federal Express/Express Mail) I caused said document to be sent via Federal Express / Express Mail for next business day delivery.
 - (By Fax) I faxed the documents to

Signed on January 25, 2010, at San Diego, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Bryge Armendariz